IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Crim. Application No.7525 of 2005

Rajesh Bhatia ..Petitioner

vs.

State of Maharashtra
and others ..Respondents

Shri Ramesh Jain for petitioner,.
Shri R.M.Gadhavi, A.P.P. for respondent no.1

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR J.
6th December,2005

P.C.

1. Heard counsel for the parties.

2. I see no infirmity in the reasoned order of the revisional court in rejecting the revision application negativing the contention raised on behalf of the petitioner regarding valid authorisation to institute and pursue the complaint. In deed, the petitioner may be justified in arguing that in the verification no reference is made to the fact that the complaint is filed for and on behalf of the company and under valid authority. However, all those aspects will be the matter for trial. For,in the complaint, it is made more than clear that the complaint was filed by the Director of the company who was duly authorised by the company to institute the said complaint and pursue the same. Hence, no case is made out for

interference. Rejected.